PTO/SB/21 (04-04) .I

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE contro-number.

<u></u>	Application Number 10/	792,191	
TRANSMITTAL	Filing Date Ma	rch 3, 2004	
FORM	First Named Inventor Ch	un-Ying Huang	
(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)	Art Unit 16	8	
	Examiner Name Da	meron Levest Jones	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission	Attorney Docket Number 062	24-040488	
ENCLOSURES (Check all that apply)			
☐ Fee Transmittal Form	☐ Drawings	☐ After Allowance communication	
☐ Fee Attached	☐ Licensing-related Papers	to Technology Center (TC)	
☐ Reply/Amendment	☐ Petition for Extension of Time	Li Appeal Communication to	
☐ After Final	☐ Petition to Convert to a	Board of Appeals and	
☐ Affidavits/declaration(s)	Provisional Application	Interferences	
☐ Extension of Time Request	☐ Power of Attorney		
☐ Express Abandonment Request	Revocation Change of Correspondenc Address	TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement	☐ Terminal Disclaimer	☐ Proprietary Information	
☐ Certified Copy of Priority	☐ Request for Refund	□ Status Letter	
Documents	☐ CD, Number of CD(s	☐ Other Enclosure(s) (please	
☐ Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application		identify below) Election with Traverse; Return Receipt Postcard	
☐ Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53	Remarks		
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT			
Firm Patricia A. C	losky		
or Individual name Registration No. 53,411			
Signature Patricia de			
Date February 12, 2007			

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below. Typed printed Judy Eberle or name Date February 12, 2007 Signature

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 2 hours to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing this form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

Application No. 10/792,191 Paper Dated February 12, 2007

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 11, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 0624-040488

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/792,191

**Applicant** 

Chun-Ying Huang et al

Filed

: March 3, 2004

Title

RADIOACTIVE ARSENIC-CONTAINING COMPOUNDS

AND THEIR USES IN THE TREATMENT OF TUMORS

Art Unit

1618

Examiner

Dameron Levest Jones

Confirmation No.

4926

Customer No.

28289

MAIL STOP AMENDMENT Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **ELECTION WITH TRAVERSE**

Sir:

The present communication is submitted in response to the Office Action in the form of a Restriction Requirement dated January 11, 2007 in connection with the above-referenced application. In view of the following remarks, reconsideration of the Restriction Requirement is respectfully requested.

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop: Amendment; Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date below.

Judy Eberle
(Name of Person Mailing Paper)

Judy & Lier le 02/12/07
Signature Date

Application No. 10/792,191

Paper Dated February 12, 2007

In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 11, 2007

Attorney Docket No. 0624-040488

In the Office Action, claims 1-17 have been restricted under 35 U.S.C. §121 between the following allegedly distinct Groups:

Group I, including claims 1-5 and 10-17 that are drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a radioactive arsenic-containing compound selected from the group consisting of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and combinations thereof; and

Group II, including claims 6-9 that are drawn to a process of preparing a pharmaceutical composition of Group I.

Further, the Examiner has required election of a single species for prosecution under 35 U.S.C. §121 between the following allegedly distinct Species:

Species I.

 $As_2O_3$ ;

Species II.

As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>; and

Species III.

 $As_2S_2$ .

Finally, the Examiner has required that the tumor or cancer of interest be identified.

The present invention is directed to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a radioactive arsenic-containing compound selected from the group consisting of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and combinations thereof, as well as a process for preparing the same. Group I is directed to the pharmaceutical composition of the present invention and the claims of this Group are classified in class 424, subclass 1.61. Group II is directed to the process of preparing the pharmaceutical composition and the claims of this Group are classified in class 424, subclass 1.11.

Applicants respectfully traverse the restriction of Groups I and II on the grounds that Applicants believe a search with respect to Group I would be co-extensive with a search directed toward Group II and, therefore, would not pose an undue burden on the Examiner. However, should the Examiner maintain the restriction requirement as to Groups I and II, Applicants provisionally elect Group I for initial examination.

With respect to the election of either As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, or As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> as the Species of the invention for initial examination, Applicants respectfully assert the following:

Referring to the statements set forth on page 2, line 23 to page 3, line 6 of the present specification, arsenic trioxide (As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>) achieved a complete remission rate of 90% in

Application No. 10/792,191 Paper Dated February 12, 2007 In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 11, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 0624-040488

the treatment of relapsed acute promyelocytic leukemia (Shen Z.X. et al., Blood (1997), 89: 3354), and other arsenic-containing substances such as "Composite Indigo Naturalis Tablets" and pure tetraarsenic tetrasulfide (As<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>) can achieve complete remission rates of 98% and 84.9%, respectively.

In view of the aforesaid, evidently,  $As_2O_3$ ,  $As_2S_3$  and  $As_2S_2$  each are effective in the treatment of promyelocytic leukemia. According to the present invention, the As atom contained therein can be converted to a radioactive arsenic isotope via a neutron irradiation treatment. Given this, these three compounds should not be deemed patentably distinct Species.

In addition, it is described on page 4, lines 4-13 that "In 2002, Miller et al., propounding the possible mechanisms of actions of arsenic trioxide in *Cancer Research* (2002), 62:3893-3903, stated that these actions might result in the induction of apoptosis, the inhibition of growth and angiogenesis, and the promotion of differentiation, and that because arsenic affected so many cellular and physiological pathways, a wide variety of malignancies, including both hematologic cancer and solid tumors derived from several tissue types, might be susceptible to therapy with arsenic trioxide." Apparently, the therapeutic effect of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, in the treatment of cancer is attributed to the As atom contained therein. Since As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub> and As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> each contain an As atom, which can be converted to a radioactive arsenic isotope according to the presently claimed invention, the three compounds should not be deemed patentably distinct Species.

Further, the claims of the present invention are directed to a composition containing at least one of As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, and As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub> and a process for preparing the same. As such, examination of one of the species would be co-extensive with the examination of the other species identified by the Examiner because the species can exist in the composition concurrently. Accordingly, restriction of the claims between the above-referenced, allegedly distinct species is inappropriate. However, should the Examiner maintain the restriction requirement as to the following Species: As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>3</sub>, or As<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>, Applicants provisionally elect As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> encompassed by all of pending claims 1-17, and claims 1-5 and 10-17 of Group I, as the Species for initial examination.

With respect to identifying the tumor or cancer of interest, Applicants respectfully assert the following:

Application No. 10/792,191 Paper Dated February 12, 2007 In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 11, 2007 Attorney Docket No. 0624-040488

It is described on page 4, lines 4-13 that "In 2002, Miller et al., propounding the possible mechanisms of actions of arsenic trioxide in *Cancer Research* (2002), 62:3893-3903, stated that these actions might result in the induction of apoptosis, the inhibition of growth and angiogenesis, and the promotion of differentiation, and that because <u>arsenic affected so many cellular and physiological pathways</u>, a wide variety of malignancies, including both hematologic cancer and solid tumors derived from several tissue types, might be susceptible to therapy with arsenic trioxide." These statements clearly reveal the fact that arsenic is effective in the treatment of both hematologic cancer and solid tumors derived from several tissue types, rather than liver cancer only.

In addition, referring to the paragraph bridging pages 4 and 5 of the specification, it is clear that arsenic trioxide can inhibit growth of many cancer cell lines and promote apoptosis in the cancer cell lines. Besides, clinical trials of arsenic trioxide were conducted in connection with hematologic malignancies (including acute promyelocytic leukemia, acute myeloid leukemia (AML), acute lymphocytic leukemia, chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), non-Hodgkin's lymphoma, Hodgkin's lymphoma, chronic lymphocytic leukemia, myelodysplastic syndrome and multiple myeloma), and solid tumors (including prostate cancer, cervical cancer and bladder cancer)(See *Murgo A.J., The Oncologist (2001), 6 (suppl 2):22-28*).

It is further stated in the paragraph bridging pages 5 and 6 of the specification that "WO 99/24029 (corresponding to CN 1285743A) discloses methods for the treatment of leukemia, lymphoma, and solid tumors, which includes administering to a patient a therapeutically effective amount of arsenic trioxide or an organic arsenical". In fact, WO 99/24029 also discloses other therapeutic methods and combination therapies, such as chemotherapeutics, radioprotectants, radiotherapeutics, and the like, so as to improve the life quality of cancer patients.

In view of the aforesaid, the use of arsenic compounds in the treatment of cancers is not limited to specific types of cancers/tumors. Therefore, it is believed that the radioactive arsenic-containing compound according to this invention can be used in the treatment of a variety of cancers as enumerated above. However, should the Examiner require the identification of the tumor or cancer of interest, Applicants provisionally identify liver tumors as the subject matter of interest.

For the Examiner's convenience, the following Table identifies the location in the present specification of the statements relied upon in the foregoing arguments:

**TABLE** 

Location in the	Cancer/ tumor	Reference
Specification		121
p.2, L23 – L27	acute promyelocytic leukemia	Shen Z.X. et al., Blood (1997), 89:
		3354
p.2, L27 – p.3, L6	acute promyelocytic leukemia	Wang Z.Y., Cancer Chemother
		Pharmacol (2001), 48 (suppl 1): S72-
		S76
p.3, L7 – L14	acute promyelocytic leukemia	Shen Y, et al., Leukemia (2001), 15:
		735-741
p.3, L7 – L14	acute promyelocytic leukemia	Muto A et al., Leukemia (2001), 15(8):
		1176-1184
p.4, L4 – L13	hematologic cancer and solid	Cancer Research (2002), 62:3893-3903
	tumors derived from several	
,	tissue types	
p.4, L14 – p.5, L2	hematologic malignancies (acute	Murgo A.J., The Oncologist (2001), 6
	promyelocytic leukemia, acute	(suppl 2):22-28
	myeloid leukemia (AML), acute	
	lymphocytic leukemia, chronic	·
	myelogenous leukemia (CML),	·
•	non-Hodgkin's lymphoma,	
	Hodgkin's lymphoma, chronic	
	lymphocytic leukemia,	
	myelodysplastic syndrome and	
	multiple myeloma) and solid	
	tumors( prostate cancer, cervical	
	cancer and bladder cancer)	
p.5, L18 – L20	liver tumor (mice)	Lin et al., "Study on Anti-tumor
		Activity of Arsenic Trioxide," China
		Journal of Chinese Materia Medica
		(1999), 24(3): 1-3
p.5, L21 – L25	leukemia, lymphoma, and solid	WO 99/24029 (corresponding to CN
	tumors	1285743A)

Application No. 10/792,191
Paper Dated February 12, 2007
In Reply to USPTO Correspondence of January 11, 2007
Attorney Docket No. 0624-040488

In view of the above remarks, withdrawal of the restriction requirement is respectfully requested. However, in the event the Examiner maintains the restriction requirement, Applicants hereby provisionally elect, with traverse, to prosecute Group I, Species As<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> that corresponds to claims 1-5 and 10-17 of Group I and liver tumors as the subject matter of interest. Applicants make this election without prejudice to the later filing of a divisional application directed to the non-elected claims.

Respectfully submitted,

THE WEBB LAW FIRM

Patricia A. Olosky

Registration No. 53,411 Attorney for Applicants 700 Koppers Building 436 Seventh Avenue

Pittsburgh, PA 15219-1845

Telephone: 412-471-8815 Facsimile: 412-471-4094

E-mail: webblaw@webblaw.com